

Published on Web 09/10/2004

Mechanism of Substitution Reaction on sp²-Carbon Center with Lithium Organocuprate

Naohiko Yoshikai and Eiichi Nakamura*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Received June 9, 2004; E-mail: nakamura@chem.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

A report in 1967 that a lithium diorganocuprate (R₂CuLi) undergoes substitution reaction with an alkenyl bromide with retention of stereochemistry¹ changed the accepted wisdom that a nucleophilic substitution on an sp²-hybridized carbon is synthetically impracticable.² The new paradigm is now firmly established in chemistry through subsequent development of catalytic variants.^{3,4} A generally accepted mechanism of the cuprate reaction involves an oxidative addition/reductive elimination sequence (Scheme 1).^{5–7}

Scheme 1



There underlie, however, a few questionable assumptions in such a scheme: The first is the neglect of the polymetallic structure of R₂CuLi that is essential for the reactivity of organocuprate.^{8,9} In addition, the mechanism silently assumes direct insertion of the metal atom into the C–X bond to form the vinyl complex **II** and, hence, underrates the role of the π -complex **I** (note that the σ^* - and the π^* -orbitals of the alkenyl bromide responsible for the σ - and the π -complexation are orthogonal to each other). On the basis of theoretical¹⁰ and experimental studies, we propose here a significant mechanistic modification, which is consistent with and adds a new dimension to the modern mechanistic pictures of organocuprate reactions.⁸

Experimental and theoretical studies in recent years have shown that the cyclic dimer **1** (in its solvated form, S = ethereal solvent) exists as a stable species in ethereal solution and reacts with electrophiles (Scheme 2).^{8,9} The cuprate **1** undergoes a two-point interaction with vinyl bromide **2** to form a π -complex **3**, as it does with cyclohexenone.¹¹ This π -complex, however, is less favorable here than in the case of more electrophilic cyclohexenone. This energetics was confirmed by ¹³C NMR measurement on a mixture of Me₂CuLi·LiI and 1-bromocyclooctene in diethyl ether, which did not show any indication of a π -complex at -20 to -40 °C (Supporting Information) and afforded directly the coupling product at >-10 °C (note that conjugate addition usually proceeds below -50 °C).

In the π -complex **3** that may be viewed as an ate complex of a cuprio(III)cyclopropane,⁸ the two methyl groups and the vinyl carbon atoms serve as four anionic ligands in this d⁸ square-planar complex. Conversion of **3** to the conventional three-centered TS (**TS**₃₋₄ then to **4**) keeps the Cu–C¹ bond while breaking the Cu–C² bond. This process can be viewed as rotation of the Me¹CuMe² moiety along Cu–C¹ bond as indicated as rotation A. This motion creates a vacant site on the metal and forms the Cu–Br bond. The

Scheme 2. Two Pathways of the Reaction of Cuprate **1** with Vinyl Bromide **2** To Give Propene^a



^{*a*} A and B rotations refer to rotation along the $Cu-C^1$ axis. Energies (in kilocalories per mole) are relative to [1 + 2]. Energy changes are shown together with arrows.

vinylcopper(III) complex 4 undergoes quick reductive elimination via TS_{4-5} to give the mixed cuprate 5 and propene $6^{.12}$

There is another alternative rotational mode that has thus far escaped attention (rotation B), wherein the Cu-C² bond is retained until the C-Br bond cleaving TS (TS₃₋₅). Here the Br atom eliminates by itself without interaction with the Cu atom, which may look rather surprising but is quite good since the leaving Br anion keeps strong interaction with the Li atom. The tetracoordination that still exists in TS_{3-5} becomes disrupted as the Cu-C¹ bond becomes fully formed after the TS (Supporting Information), and the resulting tricoordinated CuIII complex undergoes spontaneous reductive elimination of the vinyl and the Me¹ groups without giving a discrete Cu^{III} intermediate.¹³ The activation energies of paths A and B are (ΔE^{\ddagger}) 21.5 and 18.0 kcal/mol, respectively, and comparable to that for the S_N2 alkylation reaction of methyl bromide with 1.14 These values decrease by ca. 4 and 1 kcal/mol by inclusion of solvent coordination ($S = Me_2O$) to Li atoms and by consideration of solvent polarity (PCM method, $\epsilon = 4.335$ for Et₂O), respectively (see Supporting Information). With inclusion of solvent effects, path B is still favored by 3-4 kcal/mol over path A (data in Table S1, Supporting Information).

Structure and orbital analysis shows the similarity and the difference between the two TSs of the C–Br bond cleavage, TS_{3-4} and TS_{3-5} (Figure 1). In both TSs, the Me¹–Cu–Me² moiety is bent (117.0° and 104.0°), and the C¹ atom becomes nearly sp³ hybridized (C² becomes closer to sp²). The Me¹–Cu–Me² bending pushes up the energy level of the Cu $3d_{xz}$ orbital,¹⁵ and the deformation of the C¹ center lowers the LUMO level of vinyl bromide through mixing of the C¹=C² π^* and the C¹–Br σ^* orbitals



Figure 1. Structures of C-Br bond cleavage TSs (TS₃₋₄, TS₃₋₅, and TS_{oct}) with schematic representations of orbital interaction based on the analysis of fragment orbitals (Supporting Information). The red arrow indicates backdonative interaction. The numbers refer to bond length (Å), bond angles (italic), and natural charges (bold and underlined).

Table 1. Calculated and Experimental ¹²C/¹³C KIE Values for the Reaction between Me₂CuLi and Vinyl Bromide/ 1-Bromocyclooctene

	6 5 4	Br $M2$ Et	le₂CuLi 	6 5 4 3	
	calcd (A) ^a	calcd (B) ^a	calcd $(B)^{b}$	run 1°	run 2°
C1	1.039	1.026	1.024	1.023(3)	1.020(4)
C^2	1.015	1.018	1.016	1.015(2)	1.017(3)
C^3			1.003	0.999(1)	1.000(1)
C^4			1.000	1.003(2)	1.001(1)
C^5			1.000	1.000	1.000
C^6			1.000	0.997(2)	0.999(2)
C^7			1.001	0.997(2)	0.998(2)
C^8			1.007	1.004(1)	1.006(2)

^a KIEs calculated for vinyl bromide. ^b KIEs calculated for 1-bromocyclooctene. ^c Experiments 1 and 2 are reactions carried out to 80.4 and 72.6% completion, respectively. The C5 atom was taken as an "internal standard". Standard deviations in the last digit are shown in parentheses.

(Supporting Information). Thus, essentially the same frontier orbital interactions (HOMO: Cu $3d_{xz}$, LUMO: C=C π^*/C -Br σ^*) give TS_{3-4} and TS_{3-5} . The copper atom is in the T-shaped coordination geometry indigenous to Cu^{III} oxidation state.¹³ The T-geometry, however, is oriented in an opposite way as to the vinyl bromide moiety and so is the vacant orbital of the Cu^{III} center (Figure 1): In TS₃₋₄, the vacant site can readily interact with the Br atom, while in TS_{3-5} , it can keep the interaction with the C² atom as long as it is possible. As one can immediately notice the similarity between TS_{3-5} and β -elimination reaction (Figure 1 inset; or also α -elimination) of the metallacyclopropane, we may call the TS_{3-5} "eliminative TS" as opposed to the "three-centered" TS_{3-4} .

Taking place via either path A or B, the rate-limiting step of the reaction is the C-Br bond cleavage, and therefore, we should be able to probe the reaction experimentally through kinetic isotope effect (KIE). The ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ KIE values were calculated for TS_{3-4} and TS_{3-5} by taking 1 and 2 as starting materials. As shown in the first and the second columns of Table 1, the KIE values for C1 (1.039 and 1.026 for paths A and B, respectively) are significantly different from each other, reflecting the mechanistic difference and indicating that the KIE serves as a measure to probe the mechanism.

Therefore, we compared experimental measurement (based on quantitative ¹³C NMR measurement)¹⁶ and theoretical prediction of KIE for the reaction between Me2CuLi and 1-bromocyclooctene.¹⁷ The calculated KIEs for path B (see **TS**_{oct} in Figure 1) in column 3 show significant KIEs on C¹ (1.024) and C² (1.016), which agree very well with the experimental data in columns 4 and 5. For 1-bromocyclooctene, the conventional three-centered TS was higher in energy and could not be located as a stationary point. As one can surmise from TS_{oct} in Figure 1, the counterclockwise rotation of the Me₂Cu moiety in path A suffers from steric repulsion between the ring structure and the Me¹ group.

In summary, we have proposed a new mechanism of "oxidative" addition between a cuprate and an alkenyl halide on the basis of theory and experiments. It is first necessary to note that the initially formed π -complex is not a simple Cu^I/alkenyl halide complex but behaves as a cuprio(III)cyclopropane,⁸ where charge transfer is taking place from the 3d orbital of the bent Me₂Cu moiety to the π^*/σ^* -mixed orbital of the deformed alkenyl halide. The subsequent C-Br bond cleavage in this complex may go through the "threecentered" or the "eliminative" way, of which the latter is preferred. The overall mechanistic framework and the cooperation of various components in the curprate cluster strongly suggest kinship between this and the conjugate addition reaction (and the carbocupration reaction as well).18 We expect that the present mechanistic framework applies not only to the stoichiometric cuprate(I) reaction but also to reactions involving d¹⁰/d⁸ transition metal catalytic cycles³ and that useful new designs of reactions will result.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan for financial support, a Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research and for the 21st Century COE Program for Frontiers in Fundamental Chemistry.

Supporting Information Available: Details of computation and experiments (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- Corey, E. J.; Posner, G. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1967**, 89, 3911.
 Ando, K.; Kitamura, M.; Miura, K.; Narasaka, K. Org. Lett. **2004**, 6, 2461.
 (a) Tamura, M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1971**, 93, 1487. (b) Tamao, .; Sumitani, K.; Kumada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4374. (c) Corriu, R. J. P.; Masse, J. P. Chem. Commun. 1972, 144. (d) Yamamura, M.; Moritani, I.; Murahashi, S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 91, C39. (e) Commercon, A.; Normant, J. F.; Villieras, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 128, 1.
- (4) Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1998.
- (5) Bruckner, R. Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reaction Mechanisms; Academic Press: New York, 2002; Chapter 13.
- (6) A Cu-Br exchange/ S_N2 alkylation sequence can be an alternative mechanism (ref 7). This possibility was discarded by the following experiment: the reaction between Me_2CuLi and trans- β -bromostyrene in the presence of methyl iodide-d3 resulted in no CD3 group incorporation
- (7) Whitesides, G. M.; Fischer, W. F., Jr.; San Fillipo, J., Jr.; Bashe, R. W.; House, H. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4871.
 (8) Nakamura, E.; Mori, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3750.
- For a recent experimental study, see: Xie, X. L.; Auel, C.; Henze, W.; Gschwind, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 1595. (10) All calculations were carried out by the B3LYP hybrid density functional
- method. For details, see Supporting Information.
- For example: (a) Nakamura, E.; Mori, S.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 4900. (b) Vellekoop, A. S.; Smith, R. A. J. Am. Chem. (11)Soc. 1994, 116, 2902
- (12) The product 5 may undergo reorganization to give polymeric MeCu and Me2ĈuLi•LiBr.
- (a) Dorigo, A. E.; Wanner, J.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. (13)**1995**, *34*, 476. (b) Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 11025. (c) Nakamura, E.; Yamanaka, M.; Mori, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, *122*, 1826.
- (14) Mori, S.; Nakamura, E.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7294
- (15) Mori, S.; Nakamura, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 5319.
- (a) Singleton, D. A.; Thomas, A. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9357.
 (b) Frantz, D. E.; Singleton, D. A.; Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, (16)119, 3383.
- (17) 1-Bromocyclooctene was chosen as a substrate because of its low volatility and the lack of stereochemical issues
- (18) Mori, S.; Nakamura, E.; Morokuma, K. Organometallics 2004, 23, 1081.

JA046616W